The construct validity of session RPE during an intensive camp in young male Karate athletes
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Summary

Background: the aim of this study was to assess the validity of the session rating of perceived exertion (RPE) method and two objective HR-based methods for quantifying karate’s training load (TL) in young Karatekas. Methods: eleven athletes (age 12.50±1.84 years) participated in this study. The training period/camp was performed on 5 consecutive days with two training session (s) per-day (d). Construct validity of RPE method in young Karate athletes, was studied by correlation analysis between RPE session’s training load and both Edwards and Banister’s training impulse score’ method. Results: significant relationship was found between inter-day (n=11 × d=5 × s=2 = 110) sessions RPE and Edwards (r values from 0.84 to 0.97 p < 0.001) and Banister’s (r values from 0.84 to 0.97 p < 0.001), respectively. Conclusion: this study showed that session-RPE can be considered a valid method for quantifying karate’s training load in young karate athletes.
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Introduction

Karate is well known as one of the most popular martial arts in the world1. The studies on karate’s performance analysis have shown that this sport’ combats requires repetitions of intensive short sequences of attack and/or defence that are interrupted by brief periods of active and/or passive recovery2-4. Another study on the physiological profile of elite karate competitors reported that both the aerobic and anaerobic metabolisms need to be developed in these athletes5. In the context of developing the latter physiological pathways6, several studies have stressed the importance of performing appropriate training loads (TL) to enhance performance and have demonstrated, for instance, that some changes in performance can be mainly attributed to varying periods of easy and hard training loads7,8. Nevertheless, for being able to schedule and monitor training loads in karate athletes, there is a need for a valid and accurate tool for assessing TLs in this sport9. In that regard, the coach’s intuition about training loads may not be reliable for accurately monitoring training, given the complexity of training mode interactions10. Unsuitable and uncontrolled training might stimulate detraining, nonfunctional overreaching, overtraining or injury and, then, performance decrement (from some days to months). Negative outcome of these symptoms could make end of athletic career. Several methods that integrate exercise intensity and volume into a single score have, thus, been suggested8,11. Most of these methods, which rely on heart rate (HR) response to exercise intensity and duration, provide objective measures of physical effort12-15 that might allow for the quantification of a training session as a unit “dose” of physical effort16. Although HR-based methods provide objective measures of TL, they appear to be a poor method for evaluating intensity during high-intensity exercise (i.e., intermittent and/or plyometric exercises)11. A method based on the per-
ceived exertion during the entire training session (i.e., session-RPE) was suggested by Foster et al.\textsuperscript{11}. This approach has been receiving increasing attention in recent years in martial arts\textsuperscript{9}. Foster et al.\textsuperscript{11} simplified the quantification of TL by substituting HR data by a session-RPE measure.

RPE is based on the observation that athletes inherently monitor the physiological stress they experience during exercise. Session-RPE has been shown to be a simple and valid method for quantifying whole training session intensity for both steady-state and intermittent exercise\textsuperscript{17}. Moreover, RPE has been correlated with many physiological measures of exercise intensity, such as oxygen consumption (VO\textsubscript{2}), ventilation, respiratory rate, blood lactate concentration, HR and electromyography activity, during a variety of exercise protocols\textsuperscript{18-20}. Impellizzeri et al.\textsuperscript{21} studied the relationship between the session-RPE method and three objective methods (Banister's TRIMP, Edwards' summated-heart-rate-zones method, and Lucia's TRIMP) in soccer players during training and match play. Authors reported a significant individual correlations between the session-RPE method and Banister's TRIMP method\textsuperscript{22} (ranged from \(r=0.50\) to \(0.77\)), and between the session-RPE method and Edwards' summated-heart-rate-zones method\textsuperscript{23} (ranged from \(r=0.54\) to \(0.78\)).

A recent study by Milanez et al.\textsuperscript{24} analyzed the relationships between the RPE of a whole exercise session and objective measures of exercise intensity during a single karate training session. Significant relationships (\(p<0.05\)) were found between the mean TL session-RPE and mean lactate, percentage of maximum HR, and percentage of HR reserve. However, the relationship between session-RPE-derived TLs and HR-based TLs has not been fully assessed in elite karate players across a range of exercise modes.

Through in senior karate athletes RPE was investigated\textsuperscript{25} seems that the RPE is related on age as demonstrated by Barroso et al.\textsuperscript{10} in swimmers. Therefore, this study assessed the validity of the session-RPE method across all typical training modes of a karate training program in young karate practitioners\textsuperscript{15}. The studied sessions included technical workouts and specific physical training sessions. To do so, the session-RPE method was compared with two HR-based methods (Edwards\textsuperscript{23} and Banister et al.\textsuperscript{25}) aiming at quantifying internal TL.

**Material and methods**

**Subjects**

Eleven male youth karate athletes, aged between 10 and 15 yrs (means \(\pm\) SD: age: 12.50\(\pm\)1.84 years, height: 159\(\pm\)6.6 cm, body mass: 50.30\(\pm\)9.42 kg, BMI: 19.9\(\pm\)3.98 kg m\(^{-2}\), \(HR_{\text{max}}\) 207\(\pm\)1.84 bpm) volunteered to participate in the present study. All participants (i.e. both experimental and control group) had at least 4 years of karate training background with two to three karate training session per week (total 3-4 hours per week). The participants were healthy without any muscular, neurological or tendineous issues/injuries. None of the subjects ever underwent any endurance strenuous activity or resistance training outside of their normal training program. The study conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki 1964 and was conducted after approval from the local University Ethics Committee which followed the ethical standard of the Muscles, Ligaments and Tendons Journal\textsuperscript{26}. The procedures, risks and goals were explained to the participants and their parents and written parental consent was obtained prior to participation in addition to the subjects’ consent. The latter, knew that they could withdraw from the study at any time without penalty.

**Experimental set-up**

According to the Italian Federation of Martial Arts (FILKAM) guidelines, participants took part in a 1-wk Karate training camp consisting of one to two daily sessions planned by the team’ coach. Maximum heart rate (\(HR_{\text{max}}\)) was estimated (220 – age)\textsuperscript{27}. Athletes were involved in two ~60 minute training session’s per-day (morning session and afternoon session for seven consecutive days) during the Summer Camp\textsuperscript{13} included specific karate exercises performed as follows:

- 10 minutes of coordinative trunk, arms (simultaneity rotation: right vs left) and legs exercises (alternating rotation: right vs left) in different body planes in forward-backward displacement (distance of 15 meters).
- 15 minutes of flexions, lunges and extra-rotations of lower limbs exercises in different body planes followed by dynamic stretching (DS) postures\textsuperscript{28} performed in standing and seated positions. DS consisted of one sets of 7-min, five exercises with 30 sec each (i.e. five muscle groups: quadriceps, hamstrings, plantar flexors, adductors and hip flexors).
- Finally, 35 min of specific karate skills including upper and lower limb offensive and defensive techniques.

In total, 10 training sessions were performed during the training camp including one formal Karate (Kata) competition.

**Monitoring training loads**

Daily individual training load was calculated using the Foster’s session-RPE procedure\textsuperscript{11}. This method involved multiplying the training duration in minutes by the mean training intensity. The session-RPE scale (Tab. 1) is based on the Borg category ratio RPE scale and then modified by Foster et al.\textsuperscript{11} (CR-10), which translates the athlete’s perception of effort into a numerical score between 0 and 10. This test is designed to ask the athlete to respond to a simple question. “How was your workout?” with the goal of getting an
uncomplicated response that reflects the athlete’s global impression of the workout. In the present study, the validated French version of the CR10-scale was used.9 All athletes had been familiarized to this scale before the start of the study (3 wk preceding the training camp) and followed standardized instructions for RPE. Each athlete’s RPE was collected approximately 30-min after each Karate session to ensure that the perceived exertion referred to the whole session rather than the most recent (end-of-session) exercise intensity. During the Karate competition, athletes’ RPEs were collected ~5-min after each Karate fight to ensure that the perceived effort referred to the Karate combat. Fight session-RPE was calculated by multiplying this fight RPE by Karate fight duration.

Criterion methods for quantifying physical training loads

Two HR-based training load methods were used to measure internal Training Loads (TL): Banister TRIMP and Edwards TL.22,23 The Banister TRIMP tried to weight the session duration using an exponential factor, as in the following formula:

$$-TD\times HR^{0.64\times 0.92\times HR}$$

In which TD is the effective training session duration expressed in minutes and HR is determined with the expression $$[(HR_{TS} - HR_0)/(HR_{max} - HR_0)]$$, where HR is the average training-session heart rate and HR is the heart rate measured at rest. The HR-based method proposed by Edwards was also used as a common indicator of internal TL in various disciplines. The criterion-related validity between Banister’s TRIMP and Edwards’ TL was verified in many studies, such as that of Borresen and Lambert,30 who found high significant correlation between these two HR-based methods ($r = 0.98$; 95% CI: 0.96 to 0.99).

Recently, this method was published in a review article of Borresen and Lambert as an index of training stress like Banister’s TRIMP and Lucia’s TRIMP. Practically all the research analyzing the ecological validity of session-RPE has used this HR-based method, such as Impellizzeri et al.,21 for instance. This method determines internal load by measuring the product of the accumulated training duration (minutes) in five HR zones by a coefficient relative to each zone (>50–60% of HRmax = 1, >60–70% of HRmax = 2, >70–80% of HRmax = 3, >80–90% of HRmax = 4, and >90–100% of HRmax = 5), and then summing the results.

**HR Measurements and Calculations**

Training intensity during each Karate training camp session was recorded using HR monitors (Polar Team System, Polar, Kempele, Finland), with HR recorded every 5-s. After each training session, HR data were downloaded to a computer using appropriate software (Polar Advantage Software, Polar Electro, Oy, Finland). To assess resting HR, athletes did lay on a bed for 10-min at ~6:20 a.m. The resting HR value corresponded to the minimal HR observed during this 10-min period.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS 15.0 statistical software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics were expressed as mean ± SD. Normality of the distribution for outcome measures was tested using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The sample size was established with post-hoc statistical power analysis with G-Power 3.1.31. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients were calculated to determine whether session-RPE and the various HR-based TL methods were convergent. The magnitude of the correlations was determined using the modified scale of Hopkins: ≤0.1, trivial; >0.1–0.3, small; >0.3–0.5, moderate; >0.5–0.7, large; >0.7–0.9, very large; >0.9–<1, nearly perfect; and 1 perfect. Significance and meaningful acceptance of the correlation were set at 5% ($p < 0.05$) and 0.5 (large to perfect), respectively.

**Results**

The HR and RPE were collected from 10 training sessions with inter-day (two sessions for days) correlations were determined (Tab. 2) ($n = 11 \times 5$ days). The pattern of session-RPE and Banister’s TRIMP during the 1 wk of the intensive training camp is shown in Figure 1. The mean session-RPE values and correlations in each exercise mode between session-RPE and HR-based TLs (i.e., Edwards’s TL and Banister’s TRIMP) showed TL, $r = 0.79$; 95% CI: 0.67 to 0.87 and Banister’s TRIMP, $r = 0.63$; 95% CI: 0.44 to 0.77.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to apply Foster’s RPE-based approach11 to quantify internal TL during different modes of karate training in young karate practitioners and to demonstrate significant correlations between this method and other pub-

---

**Table 1. CR10-scale modified by Foster et al.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Descriptor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Very easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Easy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Somewhat hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Very hard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Maximal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lished methods based on the HR response to exercise. Specifically, we determined the correlations (validity) between session-RPE and two HR-based methods widely considered to be valid indicators of internal TL during all modes of training sessions present at the training camp. The correlations between session-RPE and Banister’s TRIMP and Edwards’s TL were, \( r = 0.63 \) “moderate” and \( r = 0.79 \) “large”, respectively. The magnitudes of the correlations were similar to those reported in other investigations. A possible explanation for the strongly correlations revealed in the present study could be the relatively high anaerobic contribution to energy production during karate training, which is characterized by intermittent exercises relying on both aerobic and anaerobic sources. The magnitude of the association between the session-RPE and HR-based TLs was high enough to confirm that session-RPE can be used as a valid alternative for quantifying training loads during special physical training in young karate practitioners. These results are consistent with the study of Foster et al., who showed that individual correlations between the session-RPE and Edward’s TL ranged between \( r = 0.75 \) and 0.90, but no statistical methods were explained in this study. On the other hand, Perandini et al. in a study conducted with taekwondo athletes found a lower but significant correlation between session-RPE and Banister’s TRIMP (\( r = 0.52, p = 0.02 \)) and Edward’s TL (\( r = 0.64, p < 0.01 \)). Since HR may not be an appropriate global measure of exercise intensity in steady state and interval exercises, this lower correlation seems to be due to the high-intensity exercise nature investigated during the training session. In this context, RPE has been shown to offer a simple and valid method for quantifying whole-training-session intensity in high-intensity intermittent exercise. In the present study, the correlation between session-RPE and Banister’s TRIMP was \( r = 0.63 \) (\( p < 0.01 \)) while Edward’s TL was \( r = 0.79 \) (\( p < 0.001 \)), which confirms the validity of the RPE method as an accurate mode of training load monitoring during intermittent exercise. The study of Earnest et al. showed that the RPE method might provide a more accurate training load’s monitoring compared to the other methods based on HR when both aerobic and anaerobic metabolisms are activated simultaneously. Study strength appears in group homogeneity, while sample size (\( n=11 \)) could be a limit of this study as first impression. However, it is important to note that simple size was satisfied by the statistical power. The results of the present study provide evidence that the session-RPE method is a simple and inexpensive tool that accurately provides a similar quantification of internal TLs as assessed by the HR-based methods during the various training modes with young karate practitioners. The complex physiological interactions during karate sessions that were dedicated for developing a wide variety of physical capacities makes it difficult for coaches to accurately quantify training load using HR or time measures. Thus, the session-RPE method provides a practical, low-cost, and non invasive tool of quantifying karate training loads, thus making it a valuable tool for athletes, coaches.

### Table 2. Inter-day correlations between session RPE and HR based training loads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Number of Sessions</th>
<th>Banister’s TRIMP</th>
<th>Edwards’s TL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lower Limit</td>
<td>Upper Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CI (95%)</td>
<td>CI (95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.97*</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.88*</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.92*</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.91*</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.91*</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at \( p < 0.001 \).
and sports scientists. Considering that nonfunctional overreaching and overtraining induce fatigue, performance detriment, more severe symptomatology and maladapted physiology (psychologic, neurologic, endocrinologic, immunologic systems), RPE-session method could be a useful non invasive tool to prevent these symptomatology.
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