Imaging of the hip: a systematic approach to the young adult hip
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Summary

Background: Great advances in knowledge and understanding of the biomechanics of the hip, both in arthroscopic procedures and imaging techniques, have expanded and improved the diagnosis of pathologies of the young adult hip. The anatomy of the hip joint is complex due to its morphology and orientation. The interpretation of the images requires deep knowledge of the osseous and soft tissue anatomy: muscles, tendons, ligaments, vessels and nerves. There are multiple imaging tools. Diagnostic techniques have different utilities and often are complementary.

Methods: In this article the various diagnostic imaging techniques for evaluation of hip pathologies are discussed, their indications and usefulness, with emphasis on those resolved arthroscopically.

Conclusion: Young adult hip disorders are increasingly diagnosed and treated as arthroscopic procedures improved. Radiology is a fundamental contribution in the diagnostic process. Plain radiography (X-ray) is always the initial examination.

Level of evidence: V.
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Introduction

X-ray is the basic tool for the study of the hip1,2,3. The method of choice to assess the bone marrow, acetabular labrum, cartilage and peri-articular soft tissue, is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Ultrasound (US) also has a role in the evaluation of peri-articular soft tissues and detection of joint effusion or synovial thickening, allowing dynamic assessment of the joint. It can also be used as a guide for diagnostic and/or therapeutic procedures. MRI with intraarticular contrast (MR Arthrography) has better performance than conventional MRI for the evaluation of intraarticular pathology, especially of labrum and cartilage. It also can be used to inject a local anesthetic, for example, and perform the “Lidocaine Test”, with clinical diagnostic value.

To assess cartilage in MRI, in addition to the morphological information, dGEMRIC T1 and T2 mapping have been used, which provide information about its composition of water and glycosaminoglycans (GAG). Computed tomography (CT), which use ionizing radiation, has greater spatial and contrast resolution than X-ray. It is useful for joint anatomy, bone lesions hidden to X-ray and fractures.

This article submits to the ethical standards of the journal4.

Radiographic evaluation

There are numerous X-ray views to assess non-traumatic hip in adults1. The standard study includes Anteroposterior Pelvic view (AP Pelvic view) to evaluate the acetabulum and joint space, which we recommend in standing position because it add information about pelvic slope and acetabular version.

Depending on the clinical suspicion, some additional localized views of the hip can be used. For example, if Femoracetabular Impingement (FAI) is suspected: true axial or cross-table, 45º Dunn or 90º Dunn1,5. To assess anterior acetabular coverage: false profile. For joint pathology or avascular necrosis: Lowenstein (frog leg lateral) view, etc.

Pelvis X-ray determines if the hip is normal, borderline or dysplastic, if there are signs of CAM, Pincer impingement or a combination of both, signs of degenerative or inflammatory arthropathy, avascular necrosis, calcifications, tumors, etc.

The first variable to consider in Pelvic X-ray is quality control, related to symmetry, tilt and rotation (Figure 1). If the diagnosis is FAI, the AP Pelvic view should be taken with lumbar lordosis correction to make the signs and measures of the acetabulum reliable6 (Figure 2).
X-ray analysis must be systematic, beginning with the surrounding soft tissue, where the gluteal, iliopsoas and obturator fat pads should be recognized. These pads define the respective muscles. They must be rectilinear and well defined (Figure 3). If they have a convexity implies distension of the hip joint with fluid. The X-ray must be strictly in AP position for this assessment to be valid. Flexion and hip rotation could produce false positives.

**Osseous anatomy**

There are landmarks that must be recognized in AP Pelvic view:

- *Ilipectineal or iliopubic line*: formed by the arcuate line of the ilium and the superior border of the superior pubic ramus. It determines the inner margin of the pelvic ring and it is part of the anterior column of the acetabulum (Figure 4).

---

Figure 1. AP pelvic radiograph quality control: symmetric related to femoral heads (red line), obturator foramina (blue line), ischial spines (brown line), greater and lesser trochanter (green line).

Figure 2. Lordosis correction. Adequate pelvis inclination: distance between the superior aspect of the pubic symphysis and the sacrococcygeal junction must be approximately 3 cms in men and 4 cms in women.

Figure 3. Fat pads of the hip, AP right hip radiograph: Gluteal fat pad (white arrow), iliopsoas fat pad (blue arrow), Obturator fat pad (black arrow).

Figure 4. Osseous anatomy: Iliopubic line (blue), ilioischial line (red), Acetabular floor (white).
b. **Ilioischial line**: it begins at the medial border of the iliac wing and extends along the medial border of the ischium to end at the ischial tuberosity. It is part of the posterior column of the acetabulum (Figure 4).

c. **Acetabular Floor**: in normal conditions the floor of the acetabular fossa is lateral to the ilioischial line (2 mm in men, 1 mm in women). When the acetabular floor overlaps the ilioischial line, *coxa profunda* is diagnosed (Figure 5 a). Acetabular protrusion is a more severe condition that occurs when the femoral head overlaps or overpasses the ilioischial line (Figure 5 b).

d. **Teardrop**: it does not correspond to an anatomical structure. It represents a summation of shadows of the medial acetabular wall (Figure 6). It is a useful sign when is asymmetric with the contralateral side. A wider teardrop or greater distance regard to the femoral head can indicate dysplasia or joint effusion.

In normal conditions the femoral acetabular joint space is between 3 and 5 mm uniformly. To analyze the size of the space is necessary to have an additional axial projection to assess the posterior aspect of the joint that is not shown in the AP Pelvic view (Figure 7 a-d).

### Morphology of the acetabulum

**Acetabular coverage**

Normally the acetabulum covers 75% of the femoral head. Can be determined by three different measurements:

1. **Lateral center-edge angle of Wiberg’s**. In adults the normal value is 30° +/-5°¹,²,³ (Figure 8).

2. **Anterior center-edge angle of Lequesne’s**. Measured in the false profile view. It measures the anterior coverage value < 20° is considered under-coverage¹,² (Figure 9).

3. **Femoral head extrusion index**. Measures the percentage of the femoral head that is outside of the acetabular roof. This percentage must be < 25%⁸ (Figure 10).

**Acetabular inclination**

- **Sharp’s angle**: which measures overall acetabular inclination. Angles > 45° are considered dysplasia⁸ (Figure 11).

- **Tönnis angle**: measures the inclination of the sclerotic acetabular sourcil (the sclerotic weight-
bearing portion of the acetabulum) (Figure 12). Values >10° are considered in higher risk for instability, <10° a risk factor for PINCER impingement1,8.

These measurements are important for detection of borderline dysplastic hip9-11. This is about young patients, mostly women, who have not been classified as developmental dysplasia in childhood and who show subtle changes in X-ray in early adulthood, with symptoms similar to FAI. They don’t have CAM or PINCER radiological signs and can show slightly greater obliquity of the acetabular roof, discrete anterior or lateral undercoverage, subtle loss of sphericity of the femoral head, with or without neck shortening and compensatory hypertrophy of the acetabular labrum, that ossifies and it is detected as a prominent acetabular rim which compensates the femoral coverage (Figure 13 a-c).
In MR or MR arthrogram, in addition to the anatomical changes described, they may have signs of labral and/or chondral damage similar than FAI. These patients with borderline displastic hips are not candidates for osteotomies or the standard surgical protocols for true hip dysplasia. Most of them are undergoing arthroscopic treatments.

**Acetabular version**

Under normal conditions the acetabulum is oriented in anteversion.

The acetabular retroversion is associated with the PINCER type impingement\(^6\). In X-ray the diagnosis is made with the *crossover sign* produced when the posterior wall of the acetabulum crosses the anterior wall before reaching the acetabular roof (Figure 14 a).

Other signs associated with acetabular retroversion are: the *sciatic spine sign* and *posterior wall sign*\(^5,12,13\). The first is considered positive when the ischial spine projects medial to the iliopectineal line in the AP X-ray of the lumbar spine, indicating that not only the acetabulum, but rather the pelvis, is inclined in retroversion.

The second is considered positive when the posterior wall edge is medial to the femoral head, indicating deficiency of the posterior wall\(^5,12\) (Figure 14 b).

**Morphology of the femur**

Under normal conditions the femur has a concave and symmetrical outline at the femoral head-neck junction (femoral offset). When the loss of this bone concavity is produced by a bony protuberance or bump it can cause CAM type impingement\(^6,12,13\) (Figure 15 a).

The degree of deformity can be calculated by measuring the *alpha angle* in the cross table projection or in the 45° Dunn projection, although the measurement in the MR axial oblique projection is more reliable. It is a measurement of the femoral head...
sphericity and evaluates femoral dysplasia\textsuperscript{5,12,14,15}. It is defined as the angle between the femoral neck axis and a line connecting the center of the femoral head with the point where the sphericity of the head-neck contour ends. The normal value is still debated, however, there is sufficient agreement that an angle greater than 50° is abnormal and more than 55° is more specific for impingement (Figure 15 b).

The pistol-grip deformity (Figure 16) is caused by an abnormal horizontal extension of the femoral epiphysis and characterized by a flattening of the concave surface of the head-neck junction\textsuperscript{5,16}. Another radiographic sign of FAI are fibrocystic changes in the femoral offset. These are rounded radiolucent images of sclerotic rims generally in the anterosuperior quadrant of the femoral neck\textsuperscript{5,16} (Figure 17 a, b).

The angle between the femoral neck and the shaft varies between 120° and 140°. Coxa valga (Figure 18 a) is when this value is higher than 140° and Coxa vara (Figure 18 b) when the angle is less than 120°.

**Pathology of the hip**

The main indication for pelvic X-ray in adults is detection of osteoarthritis or conditions that precede it. Osteoarthritis is the most common joint disease\textsuperscript{17}. It is characterized by the progressive degeneration of the articular cartilage\textsuperscript{18}. Most imaging findings are late results of this process. Decreasing amplitude of the joint space, sclerosis, cysts and osteophytes are all signs of an advanced process, so its detection is a rather late diagnosis.

Currently it is believed that different etiologies can lead to a very similar process of degeneration in terminal phase. One such etiology relates to an articulation of “abnormal” morphology either by a deformity of the acetabulum and/or of the femur...
These bone abnormalities are highly predictive for the development of arthrosis of the hip, hence the high interest to detect them early and to offer better treatment options. Likewise, it is important to detect the "borderline" dysplastic hips early.

The cardinal signs in X-ray are: narrowing joint space as the result of chondral damage, subchondral sclerosis corresponding to the reparative bone reaction, osteophyte formation in low pressure areas of the joint which corresponds to a reparative attempt to maintain the stability of the joint and subchondral cysts, which etiology is debated, and are found both in the femoral head and the acetabulum. MRI shows more directly all the findings described above and also adds valuable information that is not obtained with simple X-ray in relation to the state of the cartilage and the soft tissues: synovitis, joint effusion, intra-articular chondral/bone bodies, the state of the labrum, and the presence of bone edema in the subchondral regions. These signs, such as joint effusion and bone edema, are better correlated with the patient's clinical history, and provide additional information on the progress of the degenerative articular process (Figure 19 a-d).

Ultrasound

US is a valuable tool to answer specific questions regarding hip pathology. It is a widely available technique, relatively inexpensive that allows the dynamic and comparative evaluation of the tissues with the contralateral side. The power doppler can add vascular information to

Figure 13 a-c. Borderline dysplastic hip; a) AP pelvic view and b) AP hip view: greater obliquity of the acetabular roof, subtle loss of sphericity of the femoral head, lateral undercoverage, shortening of the femoral neck in b. c) Hip MRI coronal proton density with fat saturation (PD FS): also shows compensatory prominent labrum and capsule.
the examination, for example by studying the synovium in rheumatoid arthritis, or vascularity of a soft tissue tumor. It is especially useful for assessing the presence of...
joint effusion (Figure 20 a) and assessment of bursae and tendons such as the psoas, rectus femoris, gluteus. Because it is a dynamic technique, is useful for study of coxa saltans or snapping hip, medial and lateral\textsuperscript{19}. Medial snapping hip is the most common, which consists in a snapping of the iliopsoas tendon over the iliopsoas bone which can be directly observed with ultrasound. An audible snap or click can be heard when the hip is moved from flexion, abduction, and external rotation (frog leg position) to extension, adduction, and internal rotation. Moreover, is useful as a guide for diagnostic and/or therapeutic interventional procedures such as aspiration punctures and steroid injections\textsuperscript{20} (Figure 20 b). The major limitations of US are: is an operator-dependent technique and the learning curve is slow and takes a long time to become a fully trained and reliable operator.

**Computed tomography**

In recent years there have been extraordinary technical advances in computed tomography, making it a fast and widely available tool, which provides high spatial resolution and contrasted images, providing an excellent representation of the cortical, trabecular bone and joint anatomy\textsuperscript{20}. Thus, CT is complementary to other diagnostic methods in many hip pathologies being particularly valuable in trauma and bone tumors (Figure 21 a-c). Moreover, FAI patients who have a contraindication to undergoing MRI, CT arthrography could be an alternative to evaluate labrum and cartilage, as well as to characterize the acetabular and femoral bone alterations before surgery.
Magnetic resonance

There are many hip pathologies that can be early detected with MRI due to its high resolution for soft tissues and high sensitivity representing the bone marrow\(^3\). MRI is the examination of highest performance if osteonecrosis, transient hip osteoporosis, stress fractures, infiltrative bone marrow diseases, septic arthritis, osteomyelitis are suspected (Figure 22 a-c). It is also used to evaluate periarticular tendons and soft tissues, especially in the greater trochanter\(^20\).

The painful greater trochanter syndrome is characterized by pain and tenderness in this area\(^21-24\). Its diagnosis is based on medical history, physical examination and imaging studies. A great variety of pathological conditions can clinically simulate it, such as degenerative joint disease, FAI, osteonecrosis, infection and conditions that can change the biomechanics of the hip such as knee osteoarthritis, iliotibial band friction syndrome or degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Hence the need for the imaging studies. The syndrome may be the result of trochanteric bursitis, gluteal tendinopathy, partial or complete tear of the gluteus medius and/or minimus tendons, coxa saltans or fascia lata tendinopathy.

US is useful evaluating pertrochanteric pathology, nevertheless, MRI also allows evaluation of the remaining structures of the hip. MRI evaluates the state of the tendons, the presence of tears, bursae inflammation or bone marrow edema in the greater trochanter (Figure 23 a, b). In adults, hip MRI has a definite role in the assessment of osteoarthritis, especially in early onset or related to FAI\(^15\) as it allows studying of the anatomical factors associated to impingement (already described above in X-ray evaluation), and the effect of this impingement on intraarticular structures such as the labrum and femoroacetabular cartilage\(^25\).

MR arthrography

It involves injection of contrast medium into the joint before obtaining images\(^2\). Arthrocentesis is often used to inject an anesthetic (for example lidocaine) and steroids. The Lidocaine test\(^26\) consists of intraarticular injection of 100 mg of lidocaine, which is verified under radioscopic vision with the use of a small quantity of iodinated contrast or under US. The test is positive when after the procedure the patient reports pain relief with maneuvers of hip flexion and rotations to assess impingement, which also contributes to the diagnosis (Figure 24).

MR arthrography has higher sensitivity and specificity than conventional MRI for the diagnosis of labral morphology and lesions, ligamentum teres, chondral lesions and intra-articular loose bodies\(^5,15\). It is the best technique to study FAI\(^13,14\), its main indication, especially for evaluation of the acetabular labrum and the femoral and acetabular cartilage. If standard sequences are also included, additional information of the bone marrow is obtained. The acetabular labrum is a triangular fibrocartilage firmly attached to the acetabular bone rim and the adjacent cartilage. It covers two thirds to three quarters of the circumference of the acetabulum and
is absent in the lower anterior aspect. Since it’s a fibrocartilage, its normal representation in MRI is low signal in all sequences. The most frequent finding in MR arthrography is a labral tear. The vast majority of the lesions occurs in the antero-superior aspect\textsuperscript{14}. The diagnosis is established when there is a liquid interface, with the contrast medium between the labrum and its insertion into the acetabular rim or cartilage. The accumulation of contrast outside this labral insertion or the presence of a perilabral cyst increases diagnostic accuracy\textsuperscript{11} (Figure 25 a, b).

Chondral lesions such as ulcers, fissures and delamination can also be detected\textsuperscript{18,27,28}, being less accurate for partial thickness lesions and non-displaced flaps or fissures (Figure 26). For CAM type impingement, which is caused by the entrapment of an abnormal non-spherical femoral head in the acetabulum especially in maximum flexion, a triad of signs in MR arthrography have been described: abnormal alpha angle, antero-superior chondral lesion, antero-superior labral tearing\textsuperscript{5,27}.
For pincer type impingement, which is caused by acetabular over coverage, the chondral lesions occur frequently in the posterior aspect of the acetabulum because of the “contrecoup” type lesion. Labral tear and degeneration is more common in the antero-superior margin.

**Cartilage maps**

These are MRI techniques under development, that do not study the morphology of the lesion. These provide quantitative information on the composition of the cartilage, especially useful in the evaluation of its integrity.
status before the existence of macroscopic focal lesions, detectable with conventional techniques. We will mention only two of them in current medical use. In the hip, T1 maps have been used to study the cartilage in T1 sequences after intravenous injection of paramagnetic contrast (gadolinium). The technique is called dGEMRIC: (delayed gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage)\textsuperscript{29, 30}. The glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) which form part of the cartilage have negative charges on the carboxylate and sulphate groups. It is known that with the articular cartilage aging and degenerative processes, the amount of proteoglycans decreases. If there is normal amount of GAGs possessing charge (-) and gadolinium also has charge (-), it will be repelled and will not penetrate by diffusion into the cartilage. When the amount of GAGs is decreased it allows contrast to penetrate and impregnate the cartilage in affected areas. Gadolinium accumulates where there are less GAGs. This increased uptake can be represented in a color map\textsuperscript{29} (Figure 27).

Figure 22 a-c. Osteonecrosis of the femoral head; a) hip AP view; b) hip MRI coronal T1; c) hip MRI coronal PD FS.
The T2 map is used to detect water. With this technique it is possible to measure the T2 relaxation time of the cartilage, which in normal cartilage are lower in the deeper layers when collagen fiber framework is more compact and there is less amount of water. T2 relaxation times increase towards the outermost portions of cartilage. This method is essentially based on that the degenerative alterations cause disruption of the collagen matrix, which becomes looser allowing higher content of H2O protons which are also freer, which produces an increase of values of T2 relaxation over the normal levels.

With a suitable software, it is possible to measure T2 relaxation time in milliseconds in the area of interest, which enables to quantified the changes objectively. It is shown using a color map30 (Figure 28).

Figure 23 a, b. Painful greater trochanter syndrome; a, b) hip MRI coronal and axial PD FS. bursitis (red arrow), gluteus minimus tendinopathy (white arrow).

Figure 24. MR arthrography of the hip. Axial PD FS with intraarticular saline solution as contrast medium.

Figure 25 a, b. MR arthrography of the hip; a) sagittal PD FS, labral tear (red arrow); b) axial PD FS, labral tear (red arrow), perilabral cyst (white arrow).
Conclusion

The imaging study of the hip should always consider a simple X-ray which provides meaningful information but often not enough to make treatment decisions. Other imaging techniques provide relevant information that cannot be determined with simple X-ray. US is helpful in assessing joint effusion and/or synovitis. It also serves as a guide for therapeutic or diagnostic punctures. CT is an excellent tool to study the bone, especially in anatomically complex areas. MRI provides the assessment of bone marrow and soft tissues. MR arthrography has higher sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of labral and chondral lesions. The great future challenge is to improve detection techniques for chondral damage before they are macroscopically visible.
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