Arthroscopic management of anterior inferior iliac spine impingement: a systematic review
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Summary

Introduction: Subspine impingement is characterized by a prominent anterior inferior iliac spine that creates an abnormal contact with the distal femoral neck during hip movements. Currently, the clinical picture and diagnostic criteria are still being refined for these conditions. This study aimed to systematically review the literature on the subspine impingement arthroscopic treatment to elucidate the indication for and clinical outcome of surgical arthroscopic decompression of the anterior inferior iliac spine.

Methods: The PubMed and Web of Science library were searched for any publications using the terms “subspine impingement” and “subspine impingement hip” in the “Title, Abstract, Keywords” field. The search was extended to all the literature on the topic.

Results: Overall, 127 studies were initially retrieved; ultimately, 8 studies were included examining 361 hips. The arthroscopic decompression of the anterior inferior iliac spine resulted in a mean 18.5 degrees improvement in flexion, as well as improvements in pain and functional outcome scores.

Conclusion: This review suggests that arthroscopic decompression of a symptomatic anterior inferior iliac spine deformity can provide excellent outcomes at short-term follow-up in the absence of significant complications and recurrences.

Level of evidence: IIIa.
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Introduction

Intra-articular causes of hip pain such as femoral acetabular impingement syndrome and acetabular labral tears have become well-known pathologies in younger non-arthritic individuals1-3. Arthroscopic procedures have shown excellent outcomes with returning individuals to pre-injury levels of function and sports activity4-6.

Recently, literature has identified extra-articular causes of hip impingement (EAHI) that are associated with patients who have poor outcomes to hip arthroscopic procedures7. Extra-articular hip impingement, which may coexist with intra-articular femoroacetabular impingement syndrome, is caused by an abnormal contact between the extra-articular regions of the femoral neck and the pelvis8. Subspine impingement (SSI) is undoubtedly one of the best-studied cause of extra-articular hip impingement.

SSI is caused by a prominent anterior inferior iliac spine (AIIS) that abuts the distal femoral neck during hip flexion9. SSI is thought to be caused by an excessive muscular activity of the rectus femoris during knee flexion with an extended hip resulting in an avulsion injury of the AIIS. Through the healing process, the inferior displacement of the apophysis may lead to a malunion which often results in an enlarged bony protrusion10. Avulsion injuries are common in adolescent athletes, especially in running sports and sports involving kicking11. Avulsion injuries to the AIIS are reported to be the second most common with ischial avulsions being the most common12.

De Sa et al.7 suggest that this condition is more common in younger active males (age range 14 to 30 years). Patients often complain of an anterior hip or groin pain that is worsened by active hip flexion and repetitive sports activities.

Arthroscopic decompression of an impinging AIIS also termed “spinoplasty”13 has been reported recent-
The presence of an anterior focal synovitis and a labral bruising in the area of the anterior inferior iliac spine, as well as the presence of a bony bump, which represents the extension of the prominence to the anterior-superior acetabular rim, confirm the diagnosis of SSI.

The present review and its procedures were organized, conducted and reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.

We aimed to elucidate:
1. the clinical outcome of arthroscopic surgical decompression of the anterior inferior iliac spine at short to medium follow-up
2. defining the best imaging modalities to assess the anterior inferior iliac spine morphology
3. whether there is a difference between the sexes.

This review is written according to the ethical standards of the Journal.

Material and methods

Our study group searched the PubMed database and Web of Science using the terms “subspine impingement”, “subspine impingement hip” in the “all terms” field and this produced 127 results. The abstracts were then reviewed to determine suitability for inclusion in the review.

The research question and inclusion/exclusion criteria were determined a priori and included English-language studies of all levels of evidence on humans. We excluded studies not reporting arthroscopic surgical outcomes data, such as radiographic studies, review articles, and instructional course lectures. Of particular interest were the techniques of subspine impingement arthroscopic treatment and the results produced, measured by most papers as the improvement in the value of visual analog scale, modified Harris hip score and range of motion in flexion. A total of 8 articles met the inclusion criteria, with Figure 1 outlining the screening process.

The principal conclusions drawn from the papers were then compared to produce the findings of this review. Descriptive statistics of the eligible studies were performed. A meta-analysis was not feasible because there were no intra-study comparison data as the studies showed variability in patient-reported outcome measures. Formal quality scoring of the studies was not performed because of the quality of evidence (i.e., case series and reports) produced by the search.

Figure 1. Systematic screening process.
Results

Of the eight included studies,3,9,13,14,18-22, 3 were case series, 4 were case reports, and one study was a retrospective case-control, totaling 312 patients (361 hips). Patients were aged younger than 30 years and were predominantly male, but two studies were conducted only on female patients. The mean follow-up was lower than 24 months with just a study with a mean follow-up of 35 months. Table I outlines additional individual study demographic information. The primary reported indications for surgical treatment of SSI, summarized in Table II, included persistent pain after nonoperative treatment, either specific hip physical therapy protocol or intraarticular injection, hip flexion and pain with palpation of the AIIS. In all the studies an indication for arthroscopic decompression was the presence of imaging, X-ray or CT scan, showing a prominent AIIS. There were no reported contraindications for surgery. The main results in clinical outcomes, functional outcome and complications for each study analyzed are reported in Table III. All the studies show a fair increase in the modified Harris Hip Score from the preoperative analysis to the postoperative time, ranging from a minimum mean improvement of 18 points to a maximum mean improvement of 34.2 points. The range of flexion also increased in all studies by a mean of 23.4°, unfortunately only two studies reported the preoperative and postoperative values of the VAS with a significant mean improvement. None of the study reported a major complication at the final follow-up.

Discussion

There is currently no standardized protocol treatment described in the literature for the management of subspine impingement. Several Authors reported their results in the arthroscopic decompression of a prominent AIIS, but there aren’t studies of a high level of evidence to support this treatment. This is the first systematic review addressing only the arthroscopic treatment of subspine impingement. Our review suggests that short-term outcomes of arthroscopic decompression of a prominence of the AIIS are favorable for patients with characteristic anterior hip pain with suggestive imaging and history of symptoms. Hetsroni et al.1 developed a classification system based on CT scan with three level of increasing deformity and associated the ROM limits with each type. Type 1 AIIS morphology consists of a smooth ilium wall without a bony prominence between the caudal level of the AIIS and the acetabular rim. Type 2 AIIS morphology includes an osseous prominence extending from the inferior border of the AIIS up to the acetabular rim. Type 3 AIIS morphology comprises of a bony prominence extending distally to, or beyond, the anterior-superior acetabular rim.

A study by Krueger et al.23 investigated the role of the conventional AP pelvis X-ray in evaluating the projection of the AIIS. They concluded that a precise distinction of the AIIS morphology was not possible in the AP view, and in their cases a distal extension of the AIIS below the anterior acetabular rim was related to type II and III AIIS morphologies in the CT reconstruction. However, the false-profile radiographic view was the most accurate and reproducible view to show AIIS morphology in a study by Schindler et al.24. Non-surgical intervention such as activity modification, rehabilitation, and therapeutic injections may be prescribed first, but their efficacy has not been investigated. Physical therapy may include hip strengthening with avoidance of hip flexion greater than 70 degrees. Exercises to control anterior pelvic tilt protect the proximal rectus tendon from impingement injury leading to tendinopathy are suggested by some Authors. Some patients may be resistant to conservative treatment and require surgical interventions.

In the past, decompression of symptomatic AIIS prominence was described through the Smith-Petersen approach, either as a single procedure or after arthroscopic exploration of the joint but recently, the concept of arthroscopic AIIS decompression has been introduced in a case report study. Using standard anterolateral and mid-anterior portals, with an anterosuperior capsular dissection the AIIS is exposed from 1:30 to 2:00 o’clock. The capsulotomy should not be carried too medially, to avoid significant complication, such as nerve injury or fluid extravasation. A 5.5 mm burr is used to decompress the bone. Although more may be needed in post-traumatic cases, bone removal of up to 1.5 cm in cephalad and anterior directions is usually adequate. This amount of resection reduces the risk of rectus detachment. On the other hand, a window through the tendon fibers may be required for more extensive resection, yet without any adverse sequelae. A post-operative prophylaxis against heterotopic ossification is recommended for the first 3 to 4 weeks. Larson et al.9 were the first to propose the idea of subspine impingement and included three representative cases after arthroscopic subspine decompression. The mean follow-up was 12 months, and the mHHS improved from a mean of 76 points preoperatively to 94 points postoperatively. In the series by Hapa et al.14 there was an approximate 22.2-point increase in the postoperative modified Harris Hip Score and a significant decrease of the VAS score, and none of the patients complained of a hip flexion deficit. They described a bare area of the AIIS anteriorly and inferomedially consistently present, and that represents a “safe zone” of resection to avoid a rectus femoris tendon damage. Their clinical series of arthroscopic subspine decompressions is the largest in the literature, and their results indicate that the risk for postoperative hip flexion weakness and risk for rectus femoris rupture are minimal.
### Table I. Participant Demographic Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study design, Level of evidence</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>% M</th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th>FAI symptoms present</th>
<th>Previous event/AlIS morphology</th>
<th>Surgical intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hapa et al</td>
<td>Case series, Level IV</td>
<td>163 hips</td>
<td>Mean 27.8 (range, 14-52)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 11.1 ± 4.1mo (range, 6-24mo)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Type 2 in 131 hips, type 3 in 32 hips</td>
<td>Arthroscopic decompression of AlIS with/without cam resection/rim trim/labral repair with/without other procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetsroni et al</td>
<td>Case series, Level IV</td>
<td>10 patients</td>
<td>Mean 24.9 (range, 15-24)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 14.1 ± 7.2mo (range, 6-26mo)</td>
<td>Yes in all patients</td>
<td>All patients had AlIS deformity with assumption of previous rectus femoris lesion at younger age</td>
<td>Arthroscopic decompression of AlIS plus cam resection with/without rim trim plus labral repair or debridement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson et al</td>
<td>Case report, Level V</td>
<td>3 patients</td>
<td>Mean 23 (range, 17-31)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mean ± SD 16 ± 3.5mo (range, 12-18mo)</td>
<td>Yes in 2 patients, NR in 1 patient</td>
<td>Pelvic Osteotomy, AlIS avulsion</td>
<td>Arthroscopic decompression of AlIS with/without osteoplasty and labral repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda et al</td>
<td>Case report, Level V</td>
<td>1 patient</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AlIS avulsion</td>
<td>Arthroscopic “spinoplasty” plus cam resection plus rim trim plus labral refixation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabhan et al</td>
<td>Case report, Level V</td>
<td>1 patient</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AlIS avulsion</td>
<td>Arthroscopic decompression of AlIS with cam resection/rim trim/labral repair with chondroplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nawabi et al</td>
<td>Retrospective case control, Level III</td>
<td>34 hips</td>
<td>Mean 19.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>Mean 35mo (range, 24-57mo)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16% Type 1, 32% Type 2, 32% Type 3</td>
<td>Arthroscopic decompression of AlIS with/without cam resection/rim trim/labral repair with/without other procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115 hips</td>
<td>Mean 20.1 ± 3.8</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48.2% Type 1, 51.8% Type 2, 0% Type 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nwachukwu et al</td>
<td>Case series, Level IV</td>
<td>33 patients</td>
<td>Mean 26.1 (range, 16-37)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Mean 19.1mo (range, 12-44mo)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32 Type 2, 1 Type 3</td>
<td>Arthroscopic decompression of AlIS with labral repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al</td>
<td>Case report, Level V</td>
<td>1 patient</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5 weeks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>AlIS traction spur</td>
<td>Open decompression of AlIS plus arthroscopy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographic Data

- **Study design, Level of evidence**: Describes the type of study and its level of evidence.
- **Sample size**: Number of participants in the study.
- **Age (yr)**: Mean age of participants, with range in parentheses.
- **% M**: Percentage of males.
- **Follow up**: Mean ± SD follow-up time, with range in parentheses.
- **FAI symptoms present**: Presence of FAI symptoms.
- **Previous event/AlIS morphology**: Description of previous events or AlIS morphology.
- **Surgical intervention**: Description of the surgical intervention performed.
These results were consistent with the other studies as well, with Hetsroni et al.\textsuperscript{18} showing improvement of 34.2-point postoperatively on the modified Harris Hip Score and an increase of 18.5 degrees in hip flexion. Pan et al.\textsuperscript{22} reported in their case report a postoperative increase of thirty degrees in hip flexion, but they did not perform an analysis of the functional outcome of the procedure.

In one study that used the Non-Arthritic Hip Score, the Authors\textsuperscript{13} reported an improvement from 22 points preoperatively to 98 points postoperatively resulted from decompression of the AIIS. All patients reported improved pain, and no significant complications were reported. Asymptomatic heterotrophic ossification was described in one patient\textsuperscript{13}.

In the only level III study by Nawabi et al.\textsuperscript{20} there was no evidence of differences in outcome scores between the kicking athlete group and the nonkicking group. In their research, the 8.8% of patients in the kicking athlete group required a revision surgery and 7% of the patient in the control group. Nawabi et al.\textsuperscript{20} showed in their study a higher prevalence of type II and III AIIS morphologies in soccer players (84%) than in a control group of nonkicking athletes (52%). These findings suggest that the repetitive kicking may produce subclinical avulsion injuries or traction apophysitis, contributing to the gradual development of AIIS hypertrophy or elongation, as identified in this group. Further, supportive evidence includes the fact that the majority (72%) of the unilateral athletes in both cohorts required operative treatment in their dominant extremity, suggesting that the forceful, repetitive rectus femoris contractions in their dominant limb contributed to the development of their AIIS

---

**Table II. Reported Diagnostic Criteria.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Diagnostic Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hapa et al.</td>
<td>Terminal hip flexion pain and limitations, tenderness to palpation of AIIS, imaging showing type 2 or 3 AIIS, intraoperative focal bruising and synovitis in region of AIIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetsroni et al.</td>
<td>Anterior hip pain, tenderness over AIIS, limited and painful terminal flexion, pain not resolved with intra-articular injection, prominent AIIS on imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson et al.</td>
<td>Painful limited flexion, limited response to intra-articular injection, prominent AIIS on imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda et al.</td>
<td>Positive impingement sign/FABER, malunited prominent AIIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabhan et al.</td>
<td>Anterior hip pain, positive subspine impingement test, terminal hip flexion pain, prominent AIIS on X-rays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nawabi et al.</td>
<td>Terminal hip flexion pain, positive FADIR test, alpha angle &gt; 50°, symptoms refractory to nonoperative treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nwachukwu et al.</td>
<td>Anterior hip pain, positive subspine impingement test, prominent AIIS on CT scan, failure of a hip-specific physical therapy protocol for a minimum of 3 months, positive extra-articular corticosteroid injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al.</td>
<td>Painful flexion with rotation, prominent AIIS on imaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table III. Clinical Outcomes and Complications.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Flexion</th>
<th>MHHS</th>
<th>VAS</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>Postoperative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hapa et al.</td>
<td>163 hips (150 patients)</td>
<td>No deficit</td>
<td>63.1 (21-90)</td>
<td>85.3 (37-100)</td>
<td>4.9 (0.1-8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetsroni et al.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>96.5 (90-110)</td>
<td>117 (110-130)</td>
<td>64.2 (41-96)</td>
<td>98.4 (96-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson et al.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>105 (100-110)</td>
<td>126.6 (125-130)</td>
<td>75.66 (74-79)</td>
<td>93.66 (85-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matsuda et al.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NAHS, 22</td>
<td>NAHS, 98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabhan et al.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>No deficit</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nawabi et al.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>66.7 ± 11.2</td>
<td>89 ± 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>88.2 ± 14.4</td>
<td>99.2 ± 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nwachukwu et al.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>57.2 ± 15.3</td>
<td>79.5 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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morphology and resultant subspine impingement. Also, in the paper by Hetsroni et al., 70% of the patients were involved in specific sports requiring repetitive kicking or sprinting, and all related the initiation of their symptoms to hip flexion injuries. The study findings confirmed that a subset of patients presented with hip pain that is attributable to isolated subspine impingement. This result was corroborated by the fact that the mean alpha angle in this cohort was 50.6° ± 8.6°, thereby suggesting that these patients did not have a cam morphology. They also found that all of the patients at their institution who had undergone AIIS decompression without associated FAI surgery were female. The study by Amar et al., which aimed at describing the size, location and position of the anterior inferior iliac spine, showed no significant difference between men and women in length, height; vertical, horizontal and straight distances between the most anteroinferior prominent point of the AIIS and the acetabular rim when normalized to patient's height and BMI. They only found a statistical significance difference in the width of the AIIS between sexes, with values higher in the male sex whether the results were normalized or not.

Nwachukwu et al. do not explain in their study whether the female population included patients doing activities requiring high ROM such as dance or yoga. Future study could analyze if there is an actual difference between sexes in the pelvic tilt or capsular laxity that can predispose to subspine impingement. The study by Nawabi et al., the only one to report a percentage of arthroscopic revision in both groups of patients analyzed. The causes of reoperation were mostly related to a residual hip impingement or failure of the intraarticular procedure, such as adhesions, residual cam deformity and the presence of a prominent anchor.

In a study by Larson et al., on arthroscopic hip revision surgery, 45.9% (39 hips) of the patients had a prominent/low AIIS extending to or caudal to the acetabular rim. The limitations of this review depend mainly on the quality of the included studies. These barriers include, but are not limited to, the following: retrospective nature; small sample size; lack of control groups, restrict statistical comparison; incomplete data; potential concomitant diagnoses; and variable outcome measures reported. It has not escaped notice that although such outcome scores as the modified Harris Hip Score were used, for example, its applicability as a validated patient-reported outcome measure for patients undergoing hip arthroscopic surgery is questionable. Challenges exist, regarding definitive history, physical examination, and validated imaging findings for all conditions, having been described relatively recently.

Conclusion

This review suggests that arthroscopic decompression of a symptomatic anterior inferior iliac spine deformity can provide excellent outcomes at short-term follow-up in the absence of significant complications and recurrences.
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