Complications in Hip Arthroscopy
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Summary

Background: Recent developments in hip arthroscopic techniques and technology have made it possible in many cases to avoid open surgical dislocation for treating a variety of pathology in the hip. Although early reports suggest favourable results using hip arthroscopy and it has been shown to be a relatively safe procedure with a lower rate of complications in comparison with open surgery of the hip joint, it is still considered a technically more demanding procedure because of the anatomical nature of the joint. The hip is a deep-seated joint and is stabilised statically by the deep acetabulum and the acetabular labrum and dynamically by the thick muscular envelope (over 17 muscles) surrounding the joint. In addition to these innate anatomical factors, the need for joint distraction and the use of specially designed instrumentation add to the technical complexity of the procedure, and overcoming these difficulties bears the risk of a variety of potential complications.

Methods: This is a review article. The aim of this manuscript is to present an overview of all the potential complications that are associated with arthroscopic procedures in and around the hip joint and some guidelines to avoid these complications.

Conclusion: Most complications of hip arthroscopy are minor or transient but serious complications can occur as well. A lot of complication e.g. acetabular labral puncture go unreported. Appropriate education and training, precise and meticulous surgical technique with correct instrumentation, the right indication in the right patient and adherence to advice from mentors and experienced colleagues are all essential factors for a successful outcome.

Level of evidence: V.
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Introduction

Hip arthroscopy as a surgical technique has evolved significantly over the last decade for the treatment of many articular and peri-articular pathologies. And in doing so, the indications, both diagnostic and therapeutic, have expanded as well. Although hip arthroscopy has been shown to be a relatively safe procedure with a lower rate of complications in comparison with open surgery of the hip joint, it is still considered a technically more demanding procedure because of the anatomical nature of the joint. The hip is a deep-seated joint and is stabilised statically by the deep acetabulum and the acetabular labrum and dynamically by the thick muscular envelope (over 17 muscles) surrounding the joint. In addition to these innate anatomical factors, the need for joint distraction and the use of specially designed instrumentation add to the technical complexity of the procedure, and overcoming these difficulties bears the risk of a variety of potential complications.

The rate of complications associated with hip arthroscopy is variable in the literature and ranges from around 11 to 8%. Kowalczyk et al. reported that the overall complication rate was 4.0% (95% CI 2.9-5.2%) from a systematic review and meta-analysis of 6962 cases, and the majority of complications were non-life or limb threatening in nature. Differences in patient selection, indications and the definition of a specific complication could potentially be responsible for these variations amongst different studies.

The aim of this article, therefore, is to present an overview of all the potential complications that are associated with arthroscopic procedures in and around the hip joint and to also present some specific guidelines which would avoid these complications. For the ease of presentation, we have divided the complication into intra-operative, early post-operative and late post-operative (Table I).

Intra-operative Complications

Injury to the Acetabular Labrum and Articular Cartilage

Injury to the articular cartilage and the acetabular labrum is relatively common in hip arthroscopy. Typically, the superior or anterosuperior labrum is at risk of inadvertent puncture when the surgeon is trying to establish the anterolateral portal. The part of the
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Table I. Potential complications that are associated with arthroscopic procedures in and around the hip joint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intra-operative Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injury to the Acetabular Labrum and Articular Cartilage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury to the Neurovascular Structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries Secondary to Traction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate Osseous Reshaping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondral Damage due to Misplaced Anchors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid Extravasation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothermia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Post-operative Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Late Post-operative Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avascular Necrosis of the Femoral Head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heterotopic Ossification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral Neck Fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanteric Bursitis and Iliopsoas Tendinitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intra-operative complications are the most common, occurring in up to 7% of the cases. These often present as neurapraxias of the femoral, sciatic, or peroneal nerves due to an excessive traction force or a prolonged traction time. Recent improvements in surgical techniques and development of specialised hip distractors have led to a decrease in the incidence of these injuries. For prevention of these injuries, surgeons should try to limit the traction forces to <50 lbs (22.7kg) in most cases. In addition, the continuous

---

**Injury to the Neurovascular Structures**

The hip joint is surrounded by several neurovascular structures: the femoral neurovascular bundle anteriorly, the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve antero-laterally and the sciatic nerve and gluteal vessels posteriorly. Direct injury to the neurovascular bundle is very rare, although great care is needed to avoid this potentially devastating complication.

As far as vascular injuries are concerned, Griffin and Villar describe a case of bleeding from an arthroscopic portal with injury to a superficial vein, which ceased after 48 hours of external pressure. As osteoplasty of the proximal femur for treatment of cam-type femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) involves exposure of a relatively large surface area of highly vascular cancellous bone, minor intra-operative bleeding is commonly encountered. One has to be aware of the proximity of the medial circumflex femoral vessels and its branches when performing an osteoplasty laterally or release of the iliofemoral from the peripheral compartment.

Penetration injury to the nerves around the hip joint at hip arthroscopy is rare and most nerve injuries are secondary to traction. However, damage to the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve has been reported because of poor portal placement. Its incidence is difficult to define, as it is not always clear whether reported cases are due to traction neurapraxia or direct damage.

Bony landmarks such as the anterior superior iliac spine and borders of the greater trochanter should always be identified and marked. Also, the skin incision should not extend into the subcutaneous fat because the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve runs quite superficially.

**Injuries Secondary to Traction**

Effective distraction is needed to provide adequate exposure of the hip joint to comprehensively assess intra-articular pathology and for accommodation of appropriate arthroscopic instrumentation. Distract techniques typically employ traction tables or surgical distractors that apply an axial force down the femoral shaft (22.7kg) in most cases. In addition, the continuous...
traction time should not exceed two hours, as a
 guideline for minimising the risk of neurapraxias. Griffin et al. have proposed a 'trial of traction' prior to the procedure to minimise the duration of continuous traction. This involves applying traction temporarily to ensure the hip is distractible, then releasing traction during the preparation and draping of the operative field, and then re-applying it when the operation commences.

Compression-type injuries are associated with the compressive force, usually exerted by the perineal post. They are localised in the area of the groin where the pudendal nerve is at risk. In fact, pudendal nerve injuries have been reported since the first descriptions of hip arthroscopy. Other soft tissue injuries that may occur secondary to compression include injury to the scrotum and the labia major, and this could range from a small hematoma or oedema to full blown pressure necrosis. Prevention of compression-type injuries requires a heavily padded post, which is wide enough (diameter >9 cm) to distribute the forces over a larger area of the skin. This post should ideally be positioned against the medial thigh in abduction which allows it to act as a lever, not the genitalia or groin crease.

Inadequate Osseous Reshaping

Inadequate reshaping of the cam and pincer lesions is a newly recognised complication affecting outcomes following arthroscopic surgery. It is increasing in frequency along with the growing number of hip arthroscopies being performed worldwide and is the commonest indication for revision hip arthroscopy. Philippon et al. reported that 92% out of 37 revision cases had inadequate resection of their cam or pincer lesions. In another series of 24 patients investigating the causes and outcomes of revision hip arthroscopy, 79% (19 cases) of their patients had inadequate or no osseous reshaping.

Avoiding inadequate reshaping and for achieving complete resection, it is essential to comprehend the pre-operative deformity. Imaging techniques such as 3D-CT, MR arthrography and collision detection software from Clinical Graphics are powerful tools that help surgeons to understand the shape of the deformity and also the point of collision. Using intra-operative image intensifier in planning and verifying adequacy of bony resection is also essential and strongly advised.

Chondral Damage due to Misplaced Anchors

Suture anchors are used for fixation of soft tissues to bone. In order to restore labral function including the labral fluid seal effect, the fixation device should be placed on the acetabular rim close to, but not damaging the articular cartilage or joint. Suture anchors placed too far from the articular cartilage can evert or medialises the labrum and compromise its function, while anchors placed too close to the articular cartilage can cause iatrogenic cartilage injury. From a multicentre retrospective case series, the 1 o'clock position and anterior/mid-anterior portals were most commonly implicated.

To prevent this serious complication, surgeons...
should be aware that the distal anterolateral accesso-
ry (DALA) portal allows anchors to be placed at a
greater distance from the articular surface than the
anterolateral (AL) and mid-anterior (MA) portals when
using a straight drill guide. This may decrease the
incidence of intra-articular penetration during arthro-
scopic hip labral repair. Other preventative measures
may include use of small diameter and short anchors,
removable hard anchors, soft suture-based anchors,
curved drills and anchor insertion instrumentation
and attention to safe trajectories while visualising the ac-
etabular articular surface.

Fluid Extravasation
Fluid extravasation, in other words, leakage of the ir-
rigation fluid into anatomical spaces adjacent to the
joint, is a serious complication of hip arthroscopy. Al-
though most reported cases involve extra-capsular
endoscopic procedures (typically release of the psoas
tendon), the fluid can also escape through capsul-
ary incisions especially following large capsulotomies
at intra-articular hip arthroscopy. Another factor,
which has been linked with fluid extravasation, is in-
crease in the surgical time of the procedure.

Fluid can also escape into the abdominal cavity and
retroperitoneum and if it is more than 2L this becomes
symptomatic and can have serious consequences.
In cases performed under general anaesthesia, con-
tinued accumulation of abdominal fluid may lead to
compartment syndrome and a case of cardiac arrest
has also been reported following extravasation into
the abdominal cavity. Diagnosis in these cases is con-
firmed with a CT or ultrasound and an urgent
general surgical consult is advised.

The procedure should be abandoned if there are
signs of extravasation threatening the patient’s gen-
eral condition. Also, intra-operatively, the abdomen
and core body temperature should be closely moni-
tored. In addition, surgical time should be kept to a
minimum and inflow fluid pressure should be low
(maximum 50 mmHg). Fluid extravasation should be
discussed with all the patients undergoing hip arthroscopy, and should always feature on the con-
sent forms.

Hypothermia
Hypothermia is a decrease in core body temperature
below 35°C (95°F). Intraoperative hypothermia alters
tissue regeneration capacity and increases the risk of
post-operative infections. It also promotes bleeding
by causing alterations in platelet activity and the co-
agulation cascade. Parodi et al. reported that the inci-
dence of hypothermia in patients who under-
went hip arthroscopy for the treatment of FAI was
2.7%, and the factors that contribute towards the de-
velopment of hypothermia during hip arthroscopic
surgery were prolonged surgical time, low BMI, low
blood pressure during the procedure, and low tem-
perature of the arthroscopic irrigation fluid.

To prevent hypothermia during hip arthroscopy, ap-
propriate use of warming blanket and warming the
arthroscopic irrigation fluid are recommended.

Early Post-operative Complications

Infection
Procedure times can vary significantly but hip
arthroscopy usually takes anything between 45 min-
utes to 150 minutes to perform and involves exten-
sive soft-tissue dissection, bony reshaping and the
use of foreign material (e.g. implants for labral fixa-
tion, sutures for capsular plication). Additionally, it is
sometimes performed in the presence of a hip re-
placement for iliopsoas release or diagnosing unde-
fined pain in a THR. All these factors and more could
potentially lead to an infection following hip arthro-
scopy but the incidence is low. Only one case of sep-
tic arthritis following hip arthroscopy has been report-
ed, and another case of a suture abscess in a prox-
imal portal of a patient undergoing arthroscopy for Perthes’ disease has been reported as well.

Chemoprophylaxis in hip arthroscopy has not been
closely studied. To the best of our knowledge, there
are no specific recommendations, which are univer-
sally accepted. In our practice, we use a single intra-
venous dose of a broad-spectrum antibiotic at induc-
tion and repeat the dose if the procedure time is over
120 minutes.

Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)
In a retrospective review of 81 cases of hip arthro-
scopy, three patients (3.7%) developed symptomatic
DVT post-operatively confirmed by Doppler ultra-
sond. Another retrospective study of 35 hip arthro-
scopy cases revealed only one case of DVT, although
femoral osteoplasty in those patients was performed
through a limited open approach. The true inci-
dence of DVT following hip arthroscopy seems to be
either under-reported or unrecognised. Again like in-
fection, there are no formal guidelines on the type,
duration and need of thromboprophylaxis following
hip arthroscopy. More research is needed to clarify
the pathology and the best course of management.

In our practice, the senior author administers phar-
macological prophylaxis on an individualised basis
depending on predisposing risk factors (e.g. age,
date or personal history of DVT or PE, obesity, smok-
ing), early post-operative mobilisation and the
nature of the procedure (e.g. microfracture). How-
ever, mechanical thromboprophylaxis in the form of calf
compression on the opposite calf intra-operatively
and below-knee elastic thromboembolic deterrent
stockings for 6 weeks post-operatively are recom-
manded for every patient for prevention of this com-
pliation.

Instability
Hip instability following hip arthroscopy could occur
because of soft tissue laxity or inadequate bony cover
and is difficult to diagnose. There is a concern that
anterior hip capsulotomy or capsulectomy may re-
produce the situation of injury to the iliofemoral ligament,
which results in soft tissue instability. This is a rare
complication, and the few reported cases share sev-
eral risk factors, including: female, age from 39 to 52.
years, early occurrence, and anterior instability. In patients with demonstrable capsular laxity, the peripheral compartment should not be accessed through the central compartment to minimise capsular dissection, or the capsule should be repaired following the procedure.

Bony hip instability also may develop in relation to excessive bone removal from the acetabular rim in addressing the pincer impingement. Different from soft tissue instability, excessive bone resection from the anterior acetabular rim may result in anterior hip dislocation. Risk factors for post-operative bony instability, including a centre-edge angle < 25°, primary hyperlaxity, and previous episodes of traumatic instability, and all these factors must be identified pre-operatively. Rim recession should be avoided in patients who have a centre-edge angle of 20° or less as measured on the antero-posterior radiograph of the pelvis. Bony instability is a devastating complication, which is fairly difficult to address and the patient may require further surgical procedures like a total hip replacement or a reverse periacetabular osteotomy to abolish symptoms.

Late Post-operative Complications

Avascular Necrosis of the Femoral Head

Avascular necrosis after hip arthroscopy is more of a hypothetical concern than an actual clinical problem. No cases of avascular necrosis have been reported in relation with arthroscopic reshaping of FAI. Sampson et al. reported one case of avascular necrosis in a series of 1,000 consecutive hip arthroscopies. It occurred 7 months after a partial labral resection and debridement for osteoarthritis due to an injury at work. They mentioned that although the hip might have been already at risk by the original injury, the distraction and partial capsulectomy might have also contributed to the necrosis.

At arthroscopy, the blood supply is most at risk when reshaping of the cam FAI lesion is performed. The medial femoral circumflex artery, which is critical for the vascularity of the femoral head, enters the hip capsule at the level of the superior gemellus and gives rise to two to four intracapsular superior or lateral retinacular vessels. Factors linked to avascular necrosis of the femoral head after hip arthroscopy include distraction, partial capsulectomy and damage to the lateral epiphyseal branch of the medial femoral circumflex artery. Extreme caution should therefore be exercised, when capsular dissection or bony resection, posterior to the lateral synovial fold in the peripheral compartment is being carried out.

Adhesions

Adhesions following hip arthroscopy tend to develop between the capsular side of the labrum and the capsule especially after labral repair and rim recession. They could also develop in the peripheral compartment between the femoral neck and the capsule following osteoplasty. Adhesions can become symptomatic by impairing the sealing function of the labrum or by impinging against it. Patients usually present with groin pain and restricted flexion and rotation. MR arthrography is the most useful tool in aiding the diagnosis of this complication but it requires a high index of suspicion. The pathophysiology of adhesions following hip arthroscopy has not been studied in detail, but post-operative continuous passive movement may be helpful. Also, post-operative range of movement exercises, like the use of a stationary bicycle without resistance, should be commenced as soon as pain allows post-operatively.

Heterotopic Ossification

The incidence of heterotopic ossification following hip arthroscopy appears to have increased as a result of the development of arthroscopic techniques for FAI and larger capsulotomies. An incidence of 1.6% in 300 cases of hip arthroscopy for FAI has been reported, with no post-operative prophylaxis against heterotopic ossification. It is theorised that surgical trauma to the gluteal muscles and the bone debris generated during osteoplasty in the treatment of FAI might trigger the formation of new bone. Heterotopic ossification following arthroscopy has usually not known to cause a functional problem. Prevention of this complication requires the hip joint to be lavaged carefully at the end of procedure to ensure that all the bony debris from the osteoplasty has been cleared. Secondly prophylaxis with indomethacin or naproxen should be considered for 4-6 weeks and the surgeon should ensure that the large capsulotomies are sutured following the procedure. Finally, all the patients should be followed-up (range of movement and radiograph) for up to 12 months if heterotopic ossification does appear on the first post-operative radiograph.

Femoral Neck Fracture

Over enthusiastic resection of the femoral neck associated with the treatment of cam-type FAI can potentially lead to a fracture and is a cause for concern. Since, the arthroscopic field of view limits visualisation of the femoral head-neck junction, a complete examination with the arthroscope from different portals is essential to comprehend the exact shape and size of the cam deformity. The medial, lateral, superior, and inferior edges of the deformity should be clearly identified prior to commencing resection.

Mardones et al. in a cadaveric study suggested that 30% of the femoral neck diameter could be resected safely without the risk of a fracture. The resection undertaken in removal of the cam-type deformity is usually to the depth of the normal neck profile, which rarely reaches 30% of the neck diameter. The patient’s age, bone quality, the amount of bone resected and the level of post-operative weight bearing are factors, which are thought to contribute to this complication. Osseous remodelling at the site of the femoral head-neck junction after osteoplasty has been well-described in 113 hips undergoing a limited open procedure with toe-touch weight-bearing for six weeks. Most researchers agree that partial weight-bearing is preferable.
bearing should be indicated during the first 6 post-operative weeks after an arthroscopic reshaping for the cam-type FAI\textsuperscript{72,73}. Moreover, surgeons should be mindful of the fact that the burr has to be handled gently and bony indentations, which may act as stress risers should be avoided.

\textit{Trochanteric Bursitis and Iliopsoas Tendinitis}

Trochanteric bursitis can be caused by any previous surgery around the hip joint. There has been a report of one case of trochanteric bursitis several weeks following arthroscopy which was treated by an injection of local anaesthetic and steroid into the bursa\textsuperscript{18}. This may have occurred because of repeated punctures of the bursa with the arthroscopic needle or altered gait biomechanics. Iliopsoas tendinitis could also occur via the same mechanism, although currently there have been no cases reported in the literature.

\textbf{Complications in Children}

Hip arthroscopy in children is technically challenging, because of a smaller body size, variable anatomy and the possible history of previous operations\textsuperscript{74}. However, complications of hip arthroscopy in children are infrequent and similar to those seen in adults\textsuperscript{54,75,76}. Currently, the amount of traction and the threshold of pressure to minimise extravasation have not been investigated in depth in children. The chances for hypothermia are higher in children and therefore warm irrigation fluid should be used. Careful patient positioning is paramount\textsuperscript{74}. The foot must be heavily-padded before use of the foot holder to avoid excessive pressure on the skin and nerves\textsuperscript{77}, and manual traction alone may be needed in very young children with hip dysplasia\textsuperscript{78,79}. Arthroscopic portals may have to be modified and the use of smaller instrumentation is advised. Parents must be informed that, depending on the primary condition, hip arthroscopic treatment may not be as effective and further operations may well be necessary (most commonly osteotomies for dysplasia)\textsuperscript{74}.

\textbf{Does Previous Hip Arthroscopy Negatively Affect the Clinical Results of Total Hip Replacement (THR)?}

The risk that hip arthroscopy may negatively influence the outcome of a subsequent THR remains to be another concern. Whilst there is enough evidence in the literature to support the use of arthroscopy for FAI, there is conflicting evidence regarding hip arthroscopy for the treatment of mild and moderate osteoarthritis\textsuperscript{80}. From a morphological point of view, hip arthroscopy does not seem to make a subsequent THR more difficult, but potential scarring and persistent post-operative inflammation could theoretically negatively influence the outcome of the THR. Such a negative influence on the performance and the outcome of THR could potentially be important in clarifying the indications for hip arthroscopy, particularly in patients who have already developed moderate arthritic changes in their joint.

Although Haughom et al.\textsuperscript{81} have reported that patients without previous hip arthroscopy demonstrated a greater overall improvement (not statistically significant) after THR than patients with previous hip arthroscopy with regard to Harris Hip Score, there has been no clear evidence that previous hip arthroscopy negatively influences the performance or short term clinical outcome of a THR\textsuperscript{82}.

\textbf{How to Minimise Complications in Hip Arthroscopy?}

Hip Arthroscopy is a relatively safe procedure and most complications are preventable. Any surgeon wishing to embark on a career in hip preservation surgery should receive appropriate education in the form of a fellowship, a cadaver-training programme and ideally a mentor who is available for the first few procedures. A precise surgical technique, appropriate instrumentation and adherence to advice from experienced colleagues are all essential factors for a successful outcome.

Common tips for preventing complications include:

- Continuous traction should not exceed two hours. Intermittent traction should be used if needed.
- The traction force should be limited to 22.7 kg (50 lbs).
- The perineal post and foot plate/boot must be heavily padded with soft material.
- The extremity should be positioned correctly in slight abduction and flexion.
- Distraction should not be less than 10 mm.
- The joint should then be distended with 20 ml of normal saline and the labral silhouette seen for safe access into the joint.
- Inflow fluid pressure should be low (up to 50 mmHg).
- Post-operative range of movement exercises should be initiated as soon as pain allows.
- Pharmacological prophylaxis should be administered on an individualised basis to prevent infection heterotopic ossification and DVT.
- Minimise capsular dissection in patients with demonstrable capsular laxity.
- A centre-edge angle less than 20° is a contraindication for acetabular rim trimming.
- The osseous deformity should be exposed adequately before resection.

\textbf{Conclusion}

Hip arthroscopy is a surgical procedure that makes it possible to approach the hip joint in a minimally invasive manner and has revolutionised the treatment of many intra and extra-articular conditions. However, it is highly operator dependent, not for the occasional
operator and certainly not without complications. As might be expected, the correct indication in a well-selected cohort of patients and a well-trained and experienced surgeon with knowledge of the problems described in the article and tips of avoiding them are essential to diminish the rate of complications following this procedure.
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