Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury: Ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Imaging findings
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Summary

Background: Muscle injuries affecting the Adductor Longus are not all localised at the level of the proximal myotendinous junction and enthesis. Thus, the main purpose of this article was to raise awareness of the imaging features of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, which currently remains widely under-recognised.

Methods: The ultrasound (US) and Magnetic Resonance imaging (MRI) images of five professional football players were retrospectively reassessed to identify distinctive imaging details of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. Complementary information regarding the traumatic mechanics is presented as well.

Results: All the players presented similar US images in the first seventy-two hours: loss of eco-structural integrity of the lateral epimysium, in proximity to the femoral vessels, and perilesional oedema were the main pathological findings. The injury lead to the formation of a hypoechoic, intramuscular haematoma in three of the subjects. Anyway, this was detectable only after five days, or later. Moreover, MRI sequences showed long-standing haematoma-related signal alterations which were also observable at three months after trauma. Typically, kicking was the traumatic motor task.

Conclusions: The main practical value of this technical note is to compensate for the lack of studies concerning the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. Promptly identifying its typical imaging features is crucial in order to establish the correct diagnosis and to implement a highly specific rehabilitative program.

Level of evidence: V.
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Introduction

Adductor Longus (AL) muscle injuries, caused by indirect trauma, predominantly involve the proximal myotendinous junction and enthesis1-3. These injuries are suspected when the patients refer the acute onset of pain in the pubic or inguinal area, particularly during sporting activities that involve motor tasks such as kicking or twisting movements3-4. Hypertrophic scarring (fibrosis) and calcifications are the most common complications of the healing process2, as well as a possible cause of long-standing groin pain1,5,6. It is worth noting that these abnormalities occur more frequently when both the direct tendon and/or the intramuscular tendon are directly involved, same as for the Quadriceps and Hamstring muscles7. However, despite the fact that researchers tend to focus on injuries of the proximal aspects, there are other muscle injury, in other sites, that may affect the AL. Nevertheless, these injuries are still poorly and sparsely documented in literature8-10. For this reason, they may remain widely under-recognised and, therefore, inadequately treated. Further studies are needed to raise awareness of the clinical and imaging features of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury.

Thus, the aims of this article were: I) to present a case series of professional football (soccer) players with a history of AL muscle injury that we have identified as having Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, without any concomitant lesion of the proximal portions of the muscle and II) to define the Ultrasound (US) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) features of this peculiar muscle injury, offering practical guidance on how to effectively investigate it. The opinion of the Authors is that the structural abnormalities of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury are very complicated to detect during US investi-
gation, as the lesion is localized very close to the femoral vessels (posterior acoustic enhancement artifact-related issue). As regards MRI, instead, the signal alterations can be visualized clearly in just a few sections, in particular, using fat-suppressed fluid-sensitive techniques.

Methods

Participants
We completed a retrospective reanalysis of a series of eleven footballers, members of the same professional club, who suffered from acute AL muscle injury, caused by indirect trauma, during the three previous sporting seasons (period: July 2013-May 2016). This was done in order to identify individuals who were affected by isolated Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. Five of these athletes were diagnosed with the latter injury and thus included in this research.

This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the Muscles, Ligaments and Tendons Journal11. In addition, it was designed taking into consideration the guidelines for the writing of a technical note12.

Review of the cases
The US and MRI images of the five participants were retrospectively reassessed to identify distinctive imaging details of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. The latter mainly involves the lateral peripheral aspects of the muscle, in the middle third level of its belly, or those in direct contact with the Vastus Medialis and femoral blood vessels—hence the “perivascular” prefix—without any concomitant lesion of the proximal portions (myotendinous junction and enthesis) of the muscle.

Complementary information regarding the injury mechanism was noted as well. On the contrary, since the participants of this study were professional football players, basic anthropometric and sport-related details have not been collected in order to ensure maximum anonymization. The US images were captured in the club facilities, using the Logiq S7 Expert (GE Healthcare) device, equipped with a 50 mm linear probe (6-15 MHz), by an operator with more than ten years of experience in the US assessment of muscle injuries in professional football players. On the other hand, the MRI images were obtained using the HD 1.5T Signa device (GE Healthcare) in a private clinic. All the US and MRI figures presented in this article have been revised and selected by the Authors for their educational value.

Ultrasound examination: anatomical considerations, Push-to-View tip and guidelines
A thorough knowledge of the US-specific anatomy of the AL is essential to properly complete the investigation. The muscle belly of the AL is easily observable already at 1-2 cm below its insertion on the pubic tubercle, where its short intramuscular tendon is also discernible13,14. At this level, between the AL and the adductor canal, also called Hunter’s or subsartorial canal, the Pectineus muscle is interposed (Fig. 1)15-17. By moving the probe distally, almost to the level of the femoral insertion to the Pectineus, the AL comes into direct anatomical contact with the Vastus Medialis and the femoral vessels15. Considering the transverse section, the neurovascular bundle is located...
latero-superiorly to the muscle: usually, the lateral peripheral aspects of the AL are not simple to investigate due to the posterior acoustic enhancement artifact induced by the blood vessels and due to the depth at which they are situated (Fig. 2). In addition to this, even the deep femoral vessels and the obturator nerve cannot be seen clearly using high ultrasonic frequencies. Typically, during the US examination, no pressure must be exerted on the patient’s skin with the probe. However, pressure can be applied to compress (or move) a vein. When examining the AL, to better visualise the lateral peripheral aspects of the muscle, it is useful to implement the following tip which, for convenience, the Authors named Push-to-View: the posterior acoustic enhancement induced by the femoral vein may be substantially reduced, occluding its blood flow. This can be obtained by applying a moderately high pressure with the probe. In this way, the artifact is reduced sufficiently so as to make the epimysium of the AL, the deep femoral vessels and the obturator nerve ideally observable. At this point, the operator is able to adequately observe the site where the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury is located, or the anatomical region interposed between the superficial and the deep femoral vessels (Fig. 3). Assessing also all other portions of the muscle, in particular the proximal myotendinous junctions and enthesis, is mandatory to rule out other injuries. The ISMuLT guidelines for the US assessment of muscle injuries and those presented by other Authors have been taken into high consideration during the acquisition and the revision of the US images, in order to be consistent with the most recent and widely used classification systems and with the related nomenclature. All the participants of this study were evaluated every day during the first week post trauma and (at least) twice a week during the following period, up to three months after the traumatic event.

### MRI investigation: routine protocol, specific requirements and guidelines

MRI was considered the gold standard to confirm the presence of muscle injuries that were clinically and ultrasonographically suspected. The protocol included traversal (axial), sagittal and coronal T1-weighted spin-echo (T1w), fat-suppressed T2-weighted spin-echo (T2w) and short tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequences. According to the most recent MRI-based classification systems, the presence of isolated intramuscular oedema was indicative of a minor lesion, while the presence of perifascial liquid and/or intramuscular haematoma typically indicated a more severe injury. MRI was especially useful to accurately identify the site of injury (myofascial, myotendinous junction, intratendinous or a combination of these). In order to accomplish these aims, transverse MRI sequences were also acquired at the level of the AL muscle belly and not “concentrated” only in the proximal third, as usually done when an Adductor Longus muscle injury is suspected. This was essential to rule out or confirm the presence of Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. According with the most known and considered...
The first MRI was preferably performed within 72 hours after the trauma and, typically, following ultrasound evaluation, while the following two acquisitions were carried out at 3 weeks/1 month and at 2/3 months post-trauma, in all the five footballers.

**Results**

**Ultrasound**

In the first seventy-two hours, the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury was characterised by: I) loss of eco-structural integrity of the AL lateral epimysium, which appeared hypo-echogenic; II) intramuscular, perilesional oedema, corresponding to the areas of increased echogenicity within the muscle belly and III) difficulty seeing the perilesional perimysium, due to the intramuscular oedema. All the five players presented comparable images in this phase (Fig. 4, Tab. I).

The difference in the image quality captured by applying the Push-to-View tip, or not, was critical for diagnosis in all the cases (Fig. 5). Despite this, those signs were challenging to detect or of controversial analysis and interpretation in two footballers (Fig. 6, Tab. I). It is worth noting that, in this first phase, the US signs were similar even among injuries that have proven to be, afterward, of different severity.

The muscle injury led to the local formation of intramuscular haematoma in three of five cases (Figs. 7, 8). This was defined by the presence of hypo-echogenic or anechoic areas within the muscle belly. Interestingly, intramuscular haematoma was not evident before day five following the traumatic event, in all of these three cases (Tab. I).

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

In the first seventy-two hours, the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury was characterised by: I) altered signal of the lateral fascial aspects of the AL; II) altered signal of the lateral muscle fibres and III) presence of inter-fascial liquid infiltrations, even detectable distally to the lesion.

Transversal sequences acquired using fat-suppressed fluid sensitive techniques were basically used to assess the signs listed above. In T2w transversal sequences the signal alteration, albeit possibly moderate, mimics a pseudo-thickening of the lateral fascial aspects, making it possible to locate the site of injury, in two cases (Fig. 9). STIR sequences instead offered better visualisation of intramuscular oedema and perifascial fluid collection (Fig. 10), which were observable in all the cases assessed (Tab. I). We underline the fact that these signs were clearly observable in just a few sections. On the other hand, signal alterations in T1w sequences were minimal and offered little contribution for the diagnosis. No haematoma was detected in the first MRI acquisitions (Tab. I).

The muscle injury led to the local formation of intramuscular haematoma in 3 of 5 cases (Figs. 11, 12), as well as it was observed using ultrasound (see above). In such cases, haematoma-related signal al-

---

Figure 3. The “Push-to-View” tip, performed in a 25-year-old male healthy volunteer. The ultrasonographer applies pressure to the muscle belly of the Sartorius (SA), using the probe; note the evident flattening of the muscle belly onto the femoral artery (a). In doing so, the blood flow of the femoral vein (asterisk) is almost interrupted, as well as the resulting posterior acoustic enhancement artifact. As a result, the epimysium and perimysium in the lateral portions of the Adductor Longus (AL) become easily observable. In addition to this, thanks to the compression of tissues, even the deep femoral vessels (small circles) and the obturator nerve (large circle) are visible deeper. Therefore, the “perivascular” portion of the AL is now completely observable.
iterations were also visible in T1w sequences (Fig. 13). Interestingly, comparable findings were observed between the MRI performed at 3 weeks/1 month and at 2/3 months post trauma. For this reason, long-standing oedema and haematoma have been considered the main long-term complications. In the perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, being classified as isolated “myofascial”, there were no involvement of tendon structures, for which the formation of extensive fibrotic areas or perilesional calcifications did not occur in any of the cases.

Injury mechanism
Relevant information concerning the primary traumatic event is reported in Table II. Four footballers injured during an official or a friendly match. Three players experienced sudden and stabbing pain in their medial thigh region, which forced them to immediately suspend the sporting activity. Three footballers reported that kicking was the traumatic gesture, while the other two players felt increasing soreness in the adductor region, without being able to identify the precise motor task that led to the injury. These two did not suspend the sporting activities due to the thigh pain; anyway, they sought medical attention and the first screening tests surprisingly revealed the muscle injury.

Discussion
The main purpose of this technical note was to raise awareness of the US and MRI features of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. The major function of this article is to compensate for the lack of studies concerning the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, offering practical guidance on how to effectively investigate it via US and MRI. This article may be considered the point of reference for the imaging of this injury and for future related investigations.

Ultrasound findings
US was helpful as a first screening test and all the cases presented comparable findings in acute phase. These were consistent with those presented in the articles describing the US characteristics of muscle injuries\(^1^9\textsuperscript{-2}1\). Despite this, misdiagnosis was a distinct possibility, particularly if the examination was carried out in the first seventy-two hours post-trauma; in fact the eco-structural abnormalities were of controversial analysis and interpretation in two of the five cases. In this sense, the Push-to-view tip has shown to have relevant practical value as it allowed to thoroughly evaluate the lateral peripheral portions of the AL muscle belly, during the investigations; without applying this tip, the image quality decreased substantially, likely leading to misinterpretation. On the other hand, in the three cases in which an intramuscular haematoma formed, the associated US abnormalities were visible only at day 5 post-injury, or later, while, typically, their presence should be previously detectable\(^1^9\textsuperscript{-2}1\). Contrary to what is currently known about the evolution of the US features of the intra-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Measured value</th>
<th>Measured points</th>
<th>Tunnels measured</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Widest of 5 mm from tibial entrance, 5 mm from tibial exit</th>
<th>Tibial + femoral</th>
<th>Tunnel widening on radiographs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peyrache et al. (1996)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Widest</td>
<td>Tibial + femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L'Insalata et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td>Tibial + femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebelung et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Widest of 5 mm from tibial entrance, 5 mm from tibial exit</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Greatest width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murty et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Widest point + 1 cm from the apertures of the tibial and femoral tunnels at the joint</td>
<td>Tibial + femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baumfeld et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Tibial: AP + lateral – 1 cm distal of ACL attachment</td>
<td>Tibial + femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clatworthy et al. (1999)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>1 cm below the tibial plateau, 1 cm above the femoral entrance</td>
<td>Tibial + femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fauno and Kaalund (2005)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>Intra-articular outlet</td>
<td>Femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawaguchi et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>At 1 cm below the tibial plateau, 1 cm above the femoral entrance</td>
<td>Tibial + femoral</td>
<td>Tibial</td>
<td>Femoral entrance, tibial entrance, tibial exit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. US and MRI features of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury.
muscular haematoma, in no case we observed iso- or hyperechoic areas within the muscle belly. This does not exclude that blood was present, at least, in the intra-fascial region. However, when clearly observable, the haematomas had clear hypoechoic or anechoic appearance. According with the above, the final ultrasound diagnosis should not be established prior to one week post-trauma. Doing the opposite, entails the main risk of underestimating the actual severity of the injury.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging findings
Fat-suppressed fluid sensitive MRI techniques were the most useful to detect structural abnormalities in Muscles, Ligaments and Tendons Journal 2017;7 (2):376-387
all phases of the healing process\textsuperscript{20}. However, only
the STIR sequences were positive in all the five cases, in the acute phase. Consequently, in case of sus-
pected Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, it is advisable to specifically review the sequences ob-
tained using this technique. Despite this, haema-
tomas-associated signal alterations were visible only
in the MRI carried out after seventy-two hours, con-
firming the tendency to delayed formation of intra-
muscular haematomas of the Perivascular Adductor
Longus muscle injury. It is worth noting that these

signs were also visible at three months post-injury, even considering the T1-sequences, which typically
have modest role in the assessment of muscle in-
juries\textsuperscript{20}. Long-standing haematoma (and related oedema) should be therefore considered the main
complication of the Perivascular Adductor Longus
muscle injury. Other structural abnormalities (large fi-
brotic areas and/or calcification) have not been ob-
served in any of the cases. However, considering the
low number of participants of this study, we did not
definitely exclude that those may form.

Figure 7. Cross-sectional ultrasound performed 7 days post trauma showing a high grade Perivascular Adductor Longus (AL) muscle injury. A wide anechoic region (solid arrow) is visible within the AL muscle belly. The sign is compatible with the presence of fluid, most likely blood, resulting from local lesion of the muscle fibres.

Figure 8. Elastosonography of an intramuscular haematoma within the lateral peripheral aspects of the Adductor Longus (AL). The fluid collection (full arrow) appears easily circumscribed as corresponding to the blue region, surrounded by green/red areas. Such collection is considered hard, i.e., with high mechanical resistance, potentially interpretable as a dense and not compressible liquid.
Injury mechanism and limitations of the study

Kicking was reported to be the traumatic motor task in three cases. This gesture is already known to be implicated in the etiopathogenesis of AL muscle injuries. Interestingly, the other two players were not able to identify the gesture that led to the injury and, moreover, the onset of the symptoms was gradual. This suggests that clinical history may be misleading in case of Perivascular Adductor Longus (AL) muscle injury. In fact, when AL muscle injuries occur, the onset is usually sudden and the pain not compatible with the sporting activities.

Participants’ anthropometric characteristics and sport-related information are not provided to ensure maximum anonymization, while relevant clinical findings, treatment protocol and return-to-play time information was not collected due to the fact that different physicians used different clinical assessment protocols to evaluate the footballers and inconsistent nomenclature to describe the clinical findings. The
lack of this relevant information should be considered the main limitations of this article.

**Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury in the medical literature**

At the best of Authors’ knowledge, there are only two more articles in literature that describe the clinical and/or the imaging characteristic of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury. In the report by Van De Kimmenade et al.\(^8\), the case of a football player with long-standing (three months) thigh pain is presented. Kicking was the traumatic mechanism. Interestingly, the clinical tests were all substantially negative. On the contrary, the MRI images clearly showed a distal intramuscular haematoma. Our analysis of the transversal MRI sequences confirms it was a high grade Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, since the signal alteration are specifically detectable between the AL and the Vastus Medialis. Anyway, generic diagnosis of intramuscular AL rupture was made. No proximal injuries are reported and no additional ultrasound images or follow-up MRI are presented in the paper.

On the other hand, in the article by Orlandi et al.\(^10\), an intramuscular Platelet-rich Plasma (PRP) injection procedure within the AL muscle belly is presented. Revising the US-guided interventional techniques to treat sport-related muscle injuries was the main purpose of the paper. One of the US images provided shows an injury of the AL located just below the
femoral vessels. The US features of that injury are fully consistent with those of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury presented in our technical note. However, figure caption is somehow confounding as it indicates that the pubis, the proximal AL tendon and the femoral vessels would be visible in the same (transversal) section. This description creates an “anatomical paradox” since those structures cannot be this close, at any anatomical level. Despite this, the US images are overall of a high quality and show the evolution of the healing process of the injury (twenty days follow-up).

Summary

The Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury is still widely under-recognised and poorly and sparsely described in literature. Locating the presence of the injury and quantifying its extension is of vital importance to properly establish the prognosis and to rationally orientate the rehabilitation program, possibly optimising the healing process and reducing the risk of recurrence. Future studies are needed to identify the incidence of the Perivascular Adductor Longus muscle injury, which remains to this day unknown, among all the myotendinous injuries that affect the Adductor Longus, stating the return-to-play time depending on the degree of severity.
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